

SURFACE COMPARISON

Surface Type	Traditional Smooth	Advanced Smooth	Microtexture	Macrotexture	Polyurethane (PU)
Surface classification ¹ roughness range ISO-14607:2018	Smooth <10µm	Smooth <10µm	Microtexture 10–50µm	Macrotexture >50μm	
ANSM (France) surface classification ISO-14607:2007	Smooth	Smooth	Microtexture	Macrotexture (banned)	
Manufacturer/Brand Per Surface Type	Allergan NATRELLE® Smooth Mentor Smooth POLYsmoooth™ Eurosilicone™ Smooth Impleo™ by Nagor™ Smooth Sebbin Smooth B-Lite® Smooth Silimed Smooth	Motiva SmoothSilk®/ SilkSurface®	Mentor Siltex® Polytech Mesmo® Silimed/Sientra TrueTXT PolyTXT/B-Lite® Sebbin Microtexture/Nano Allergan Microcell®/BRST Arion Micro	Allergan Biocell® Sebbin Texture Eurosilicone™ Micro Nagor™ Nagotex® Arion Textured	Polytech Microthane® Silimed PUREpoly
US FDA approval	Allergan, Mentor, Sientra only	IDE approved — US clinical trial in progress ²	Mentor, Sientra only	Allergan, Mentor, Sientra only; Allergan Biocell® recalled³	No
CE mark status	Yes	Yes	Yes (Allergan Microcell® not renewed)	Yes (Allergan Biocell® recalled) ⁴	Yes
Regulatory restriction	None	None	ANSM ⁴ (banned); TGA ^{5,6} (suspended*)	FDA (Biocell®)³ / ANSM⁴ / TGA⁵ (suspended*) / Health Canada (Biocell®) ⁷	ANSM ⁴ (suspended) /TGA ^{5,6} (suspended*)
Correlation of surface area/ roughness with propensity for bacterial growth Jones et al. 2018 grading ⁸	1 Minimal	1 Minimal	2 – 3 Low - Intermediate	3 Intermediate	4 High
Bacterial adhesion/biofilm ⁹ James et al.	Low	Lowest	Moderate	Highest	High
Manufacturing method	Mandrel (traditional method)	360° 3D nano-imprinted mandrel (single-stage method)	PU secondary process PU imprint (Siltex®) Crystal/salt loss (others)	Secondary process Crystal/salt loss + scrubbing	Secondary process PU foam covered (vulcanised)
Immune response	May promote poor cell attachment ¹⁰ and increased fibroblast planar alignment ¹¹	May optimize fibroblast attachment and promote a lower expression of molecules associated with the inflammatory response 11,12	May promote poor cell attachment ¹⁰ and uneven fibroblast attachment	May promote uneven fibroblast attachment and aggregation. Macrophages poorly spread ¹¹	May promote uneven fibroblast attachment and aggregation and granulomatous tissue reaction ¹³
Risk of silicone elastomer breakage/particles	Low		Moderate ¹⁴	Highest ¹⁴	N/A
Complication risk profile perception (Complication severity/complication incidence)	Low/common (capsular contracture)	Low/uncommon (capsular contracture and chronic inflammation-related complications)	Low/common (chronic inflammation- related complications)	High/uncommon (chronic inflammation-related complications)	
Global BIA-ALCL cases reported to the FDA as Medical Device Reports (MDR) up to July 2019** ¹⁵	No pure smooth cases identified to date***	None reported to date ¹⁶	Mentor Siltex® 38/573= 7% Others 12/573= 2%	Allergan Biocell® 481/573= 84%	None reported in the MDR database
Worldwide BIA-ALCL incidence			The risk of a woman with textured breast implants developing BIA-ALCL ranges from 1:2,207 to 1:86,029		
			Siltex [®] 1:16,703 ¹⁷ to 1:86,029 ¹⁸	Biocell [®] 1:2207 ¹⁹ to 1:3565 ¹⁸	Silimed 1:2,832 ¹⁸

^{*}For 6 months from Sept 26, 2019

^{**42} out of the 573 MDRs (7%) correspond to unknown brand implants¹⁵

^{***}Of the 26 cases of smooth implants where BIA-ALCL has been identified, 12 have unknown prior history of textured implants, and 7 have a history of prior implants with an unknown texture. 15 ANSM = Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament et des Produits de Santé (France). FDA = Food and Drug Administration (USA). HC = Health Canada (Canada). TGA = Therapeutic Goods Administration (Australia).

^{1.} ISO 14607:2018. Non-active surgical implants – Mammary implants particular requirements. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization. 2. Study of the Safety and Effectiveness of Motiva Implants®. NIH US National Library of Medicine. Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03579901. Accessed July 30, 2019. 3. U.S. Food & Drug Administration. The FDA Takes Action to Protect Patients from Risk of Certain Textured Breast Implants; Requests Allergan Voluntarily Recall Certain Breast Implants and Tissue Expanders from the Market: FDA Safety Communication. Updated July 25, 2019. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/safety-communications/fda-takes-action-protect-patients-risk-certain-textured-breast-implants-requests-allergan. Accessed: July 30, 2019. 4. Agence nationale de sécurité du medicament et des produits de santé. L'ANSM décide, par mesure de précaution, de retirer du marché des implants mammaires à surface recouverte de polyuréthane - L'ANSM ne recommande pas d'explantation préventive pour les femmes porteuses de ces implants - Communiqué. April 04, 2019. Available at: https://ansm.sante.fr/S-informer/Communiques-Points-presse/L-ANSM-decide-par-mesure-de-precation-de-retirer-du-marche-des -implants-mammaires-macrotextures-et-des-implants-mammaires-a-surface-recouverte-de-polyurethane-L-ANSM-ne-recommande-pas-d-explantation-preventive-pour-les-femmes-porteuses-de-ces-implants-Communique. Accessed July 30, 2019. 5. Department of Health, Therapeutic Goods Administration. Update - TGA's review of textured breast implants and preliminary outcomes. July 11, 2019. Available at: https://www.tga.gov.au/alert/breast-implants-and-anaplastic-large-cell-lymphoma. Accessed: July 30, 2019. 6. Department of health therapeutic good administration, Australian Government. Update - Outcomes from the TGA's review of breast implants and breast itssue expanders. https://www.tga.gov.au/alert/breast-implants-and-anaplastic-large-cell-lymphoma. Accessed Sept 26, 2019. 7. Health Canada Department of health, Government of Canada. Health Canada suspends Allergan's licences for its Biocell breast implants after safety review concludes an increased risk of cancer. Updated May 28, 2019. Available at: https://healthycanadians.gc.ca/recallalert-rappel-avis/hc-sc/2019/70045a-eng.php. Accessed: July 20, 2019. 8. Jones P, Mempin M, Hu H, et al. The functional influence of breast implant outer shell morphology on bacterial attachment and growth. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2018;142(4):837-84Organization for Standardization. 9. James GA, Boegli, L, Hancock J, Bowersock L, Parker A, Kinney BM. Bacterial Adhesion and Biofilm Formation on Textured Breast Implant Shell Materials. Aesth Plast Surg. 2019 Apr; 43:490–497. 10. Kyle DJ, Oikonomou A, Hill E, Bayat A. Development and functional evaluation of biomimetic silicone surfaces with hierarchical micro/nano-topographical features demonstrates favourable in vitro foreign body response of breast-derived fibroblasts. Biomaterials. June 2015;52:88-102. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2015.02.003. 11. Barr S, Hill EW, Bayat A. Current implant surface technology: an examination of their nanostructure and their influence on fibroblast alignment and biocompatibility. Eplasty. 2009 Jun 16;9:e22. 12. Cappellano G, Ploner C, Lobenwein S, Sopper S, Hoertnagl P, Mayerl C, et al. Immunophenotypic characterization of human T cells after in vitro exposure to different silicone breast implant surfaces. PLoS ONE 2018;13(2):e0192108. 13. Bassetto F, Scarpa C, Caccialanza E, Montesco MC, Magnani P. Histological Features of Periprosthetic Mammary Capsules: Silicone vs. Polyurethane. Aesth Plast Surg. 2010; 34: 481–485. 14. Webb LH, Aime VL, Do A, Mossman K, Mahabir RC. Textured Breast Implants: A Closer Look at the Surface Debris Under the Microscope. Plastic Surgery 2017; 25(3):179-183. 15. U.S. Food & Drug Administration. Medical Device Reports of Breast Implant-Associated Anaplastic Large Cell Lymphoma. July 24, 2019. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/medical-device-reports-breast-implant-associated-anaplastic-large-cell-lymphoma. Accessed: July 31, 2019. 16. Establishment Labs. Post-Market Surveillance Report Q2 2019. 17. Health Canada. Summary Safety Review - Breast Implants - Health Canada. Available at: https://hpr-rps.hres.ca/reg-content/ summary-safety-review-detail.php?lang=en&linkID=SSR00223. Accessed: July 31, 2019.18. Magnusson M, Beath K, Cooter R et al. The Epidemiology of Breast Implant-Associated Anaplastic Large Cell Lymphoma in Australia and New Zealand Confirms the Highest Risk for Grade 4 Surface Breast Implants. Plast. Reconstr. Surg. 2019;143(5):1285-1292. 19. American Society of Plastic Surgeons. BIA-ALCL Resources by the numbers, and what they mean. Last update on August 5, 2019. Available at: https://www.plasticsurgery.org /for-medical-professionals/health-policy/bia-alcl-physician-resources/by-the-numbers. Last accessed: August 23, 2019.